

# **High Frequency Bottom Interaction in Range Dependent Biot Media**

Ralph A. Stephen  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution  
Woods Hole, MA 02543  
(508) 289-2583, FAX (508) 457-2150  
email: [rstephen@whoi.edu](mailto:rstephen@whoi.edu)  
<http://msg.whoi.edu/msg.html>  
Award #: N00014-96-I-0460

## **LONG-TERM GOALS**

The long term objective is to understand the dominant physical mechanisms responsible for propagation, attenuation and scattering in low shear velocity, porous sediments such as found on continental margins. Many Navy acoustic systems operate at high frequencies in shallow water over soft, fluid-saturated sedimentary bottoms. In many environments the bottom has range dependent properties such as seafloor roughness or volume heterogeneities within the seafloor. To optimize the performance of these Navy systems it is necessary to fully understand the behavior of acoustic wave propagation and scattering in these complex environments.

## **OBJECTIVES**

The finite difference method has proven to be useful in studying acoustic wave propagation in complex media where other methods become invalid. We propose here to extend our Numerical Scattering Chamber, which is based on the finite difference method, to include poro-elastic effects based on Biot theory. With the extended code we will study propagation and scattering effects in real high frequency data from sedimentary environments.

Prior work in non-porous media shows that scattering from wavelength size heterogeneities can be responsible for body waves in the sub-bottom that would not be predicted based on Snell's Law Ray Theory using mean medium properties. This phenomenon will cause anomalous sub-bottom penetration and will be relevant for accurately predicting forward and back scatter from realistic environments. We anticipate that similar mechanisms will take place in Biot media and we need to quantify the effect of porosity on the bottom penetration issue. How far below the seafloor do we need to know geophysical parameters in order to accurately predict backscatter in porous environments?

## **APPROACH**

We have a code written for Biot media and we are in the process of validating the results by comparison with other methods (Stephen, 1997). We have perceived a need for reference solutions for Biot media representative of shallow seafloor environments. Benchmarks would be constrained by geological and geophysical data that has been acquired by ONR (Stratiform, CBBLE, etc) in shallow water environments of relevance to the Navy. There is a role for simple canonical models but in at least one case we would want to replicate an existing acoustic data set.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>1998</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-1998 to 00-00-1998</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>High Frequency Bottom Interaction in Range Dependent Blot Media</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, 02453</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>See also ADM002252.</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>3</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

## WORK COMPLETED

Over the past year we continued to review published formulations of the wave equations for heterogeneous, porous media. A preliminary review was presented last year in Stephen (1997). Plans for a workshop on benchmark models for fluid saturated porous media were initiated (Stephen, 1998).

## RESULTS

All of the familiar equations from Biot's porous media papers, assume uniform porosity and homogeneous material. In our finite difference approach we rely on gradients of elastic parameters (and porosity) to compute the effects of interfaces. It is important to have the correct equations for heterogeneous, "non-uniform" porosity material. A finite difference solution for a homogeneous, "uniform porosity", medium was treated by Zhu and McMechan (1991). Dai et al (1995) solved Biot's equations for a heterogeneous, "uniform porosity" medium. None of these approaches is satisfactory for our applications.

As a related activity in our porous media work, we studied optimum beam configurations for seafloor scattering problems (Stephen, submitted). For a given grazing angle and incident amplitude threshold, there is an optimal beam which will minimize the scattering area on the seafloor. These optimum beams treat propagation of the incident field in a physical meaningful way and they will be useful as incident fields for canonical interface scattering problems.

## IMPACT ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

We expect that this new code will permit a quantitative study of the importance of porous media theory to propagation and scattering models in shallow water environments at high frequencies. Is porous media theory applicable to real problems? What are the best ways to define the necessary parameters for a porous media? Are there alternative explanations for anomalous features in field data? What are the dominant physical mechanisms for scattering, propagation and attenuation in porous media? These issues go well beyond seafloor acoustics and will have significant impact on the fields of physical acoustics, aeroacoustics and medical acoustics.

## TRANSITIONS

## RELATED PROJECTS:

## REFERENCES

- M. A. Biot, "Mechanics of deformation and acoustic propagation in porous media," *J. appl. phys.*, vol. 33, pp. 1482-1488, 1962.
- N. Dai, A. Vafidis, and E. R. Kanasevich, "Wave propagation in heterogeneous, porous media: A velocity-stress, finite-difference method," *Geophysics*, vol. 60, pp. 327-340, 1995.
- Stephen, R.A., "Time domain finite difference methods for range dependent Biot media," In: Pace, N.G., Pouliquen, E., Bergam, O. and Lyons, A.P., Eds. *High Frequency Acoustics in Shallow Water*, SACLANTCEN Conference Proceedings Series CP-45, 501-508, 1997.
- Stephen, R.A., submitted. Optimum beam widths for interface scattering problems. *J. acoust. Soc. Am.*
- Stephen, R.A. Benchmark models for propagation and scattering in Biot media. Fall ASA, Norfolk, VA, October 1998, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 104, 1808.

X. Zhu and G. A. McMechan, "Numerical simulation of seismic responses of poroelastic reservoirs using Biot theory," *Geophysics*, vol. 56, pp. 328-339, 1991.

## **PUBLICATIONS**

Stephen, R.A., submitted. Optimum beam widths for interface scattering problems. *J. acoust. Soc. Am.*